

RECEIVED AUG 1 7 2010

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

1-18

2785

August 14, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely,

Stone M. Stat

August 12, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

I am writing this letter to express my concern over the Proposed Regulation in the 7 PA Code, Chapter 28a. I am hoping you will encourage the IRRC to vote against this proposal in order to save many quality kennels, which during these rough economical times, are experiencing financial difficulties. It is my belief that the Department of Agriculture has grossly underestimated the true cost of engineering, design, climate control equipment and installation, maintenance, and energy costs for our kennels to comply with the Canine Health Board's new "final form" minimum standards.

HVAC engineers have concluded that the energy cost alone will cost more than our kennel's annual profits in attempting to comply with the department's final form of regulations. As kennel owners struggle to maintain quality facilities, we are faced with sure destruction of our businesses if we are unable to financially meet the high cost of bringing our facility up to the newly proposed standards.

We are always searching for ways to improve kennel conditions, but I believe that the regulations proposed for Act 119 are cost prohibitive. Veterinarian experts have even concluded that the proposed new Heat Index maximums coupled with the air flow minimum standards will result in almost a 100% mortality rate of newborn puppies in commercial kennels. Needless to say, as a member of the breeders' community, I feel compelled to ask you to vote against this proposed regulation code and to reconsider the regulations contained therein.

Sincerely,

ERMA J. Weaver Erma Jeleaver Vera Cruz Kennel

266 Vera Cruz Rd

Reinholds, PA 17569

Raymond L. Zimmerman 1008 W Quarry Rd. New Holland, PA 17557

Honorable Kim Kaufman 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

August 14, 2010

Dear Executive Director Kaufman,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely, Rayulff

August 12, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

I am writing this letter to express my concern over the Proposed Regulation in the 7 PA Code, Chapter 28a. I am hoping you will encourage the IRRC to vote against this proposal in order to save many quality kennels, which during these rough economical times, are experiencing financial difficulties. It is my belief that the Department of Agriculture has grossly underestimated the true cost of engineering, design, climate control equipment and installation, maintenance, and energy costs for our kennels to comply with the Canine Health Board's new "final form" minimum standards.

HVAC engineers have concluded that the energy cost alone will cost more than our kennel's annual profits in attempting to comply with the department's final form of regulations. As kennel owners struggle to maintain quality facilities, we are faced with sure destruction of our businesses if we are unable to financially meet the high cost of bringing our facility up to the newly proposed standards.

We are always searching for ways to improve kennel conditions, but I believe that the regulations proposed for Act 119 are cost prohibitive. Veterinarian experts have even concluded that the proposed new Heat Index maximums coupled with the air flow minimum standards will result in almost a 100% mortality rate of newborn puppies in commercial kennels. Needless to say, as a member of the breeders' community, I feel compelled to ask you to vote against this proposed regulation code and to reconsider the regulations contained therein.

Sincerel

Mike Dellavecychia

Country View Kennel

176 Springville Rod

Kinzers, PA 17535

Marlin N. Zimmerman 300 East Black Creek Rd. East Earl, PA 17519

Honorable Arthur Coccodrilli 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

August 14, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely, Mart A General
Please

James S. Zimmerman 84 Hickory Lane Ephrata, PA 17522

Honorable Arthur Coccodrilli 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

August 14, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely,

James S. Zimmerman

David S. Zimmerman Jr. 360 Peach Rd. Ephrata, PA 17522

Honorable Arthur Coccodrilli 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

August 14, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely.

David S. Zemmermen Jr

Marvin W. Zimmerman 1551 Weaverland Rd. East Earl, PA 17519

Honorable Nancy Frantz 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

August 14, 2010

Dear Commissioner Frantz,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely, Maryal Zuri

August 12, 2010

ne kongga go e geograpiske ekskrigt meg, a se ekskrigt meg i kong benedit kala si kong ekskrigt meg ekskrigt Dear Chairman Coccodrillige ekskrigt meg ekskrigt som ekskrigt meg ekskrigt som ekskrigt s

I am writing this letter to express my concern over the Proposed Regulation in the 7 PA Code, Chapter 28a. I am hoping you will encourage the IRRC to vote against this proposal in order to save many quality kennels, which during these rough economical times, are experiencing financial difficulties. It is my belief that the Department of Agriculture has grossly underestimated the true cost of engineering, design, climate control equipment and installation, maintenance, and energy costs for our kennels to comply with the Canine Health Board's new "final form" minimum standards.

and the state of the first of the second of the state of the state of the second of the second of the second of

HVAC engineers have concluded that the energy cost alone will cost more than our kennel's annual profits in attempting to comply with the department's final form of regulations. As kennel owners struggle to maintain quality facilities, we are faced with sure destruction of our businesses if we are unable to financially meet the high cost of bringing our facility up to the newly proposed standards.

We are always searching for ways to improve kennel conditions, but I believe that the regulations proposed for Act 119 are cost prohibitive. Veterinarian experts have even concluded that the proposed new Heat Index maximums coupled with the air flow minimum standards will result in almost a 100% mortality rate of newborn puppies in commercial kennels. Needless to say, as a member of the breeders' community, I feel compelled to ask you to vote against this proposed regulation code and to reconsider the regulations contained therein.

Sincerely, Cimos Blank

Amos Blank 254 Mascot Road Ronks, PA 17572

August 12, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

I am writing this letter to express my concern over the Proposed Regulation in the 7 PA Code, Chapter 28a. I am hoping you will encourage the IRRC to vote against this proposal in order to save many quality kennels, which during these rough economical times, are experiencing financial difficulties. It is my belief that the Department of Agriculture has grossly underestimated the true cost of engineering, design, climate control equipment and installation, maintenance, and energy costs for our kennels to comply with the Canine Health Board's new "final form" minimum standards.

HVAC engineers have concluded that the energy cost alone will cost more than our kennel's annual profits in attempting to comply with the department's final form of regulations. As kennel owners struggle to maintain quality facilities, we are faced with sure destruction of our businesses if we are unable to financially meet the high cost of bringing our facility up to the newly proposed standards.

We are always searching for ways to improve kennel conditions, but I believe that the regulations proposed for Act 119 are cost prohibitive. Veterinarian experts have even concluded that the proposed new Heat Index maximums coupled with the air flow minimum standards will result in almost a 100% mortality rate of newborn puppies in commercial kennels. Needless to say, as a member of the breeders' community, I feel compelled to ask you to vote against this proposed regulation code and to reconsider the regulations contained therein.

Sincerely,

Jessie & Beiler

492 Hill Rd

Honey Brook, PA 19344

2785

John R. Zimmerman 1087 Silver Hill Rd. Narvan, PA 17555

Honorable Arthur Coccodrilli 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101



August 14, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely.

John R. Zemmerman

AMOS W. ZIMMERMAN 937 GLENWOOD PRIVE EPHRATA, PA. 17522

> Honorable Arthur Coccodrilli 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

> > August 14, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely,

Comow Zoni

Raymond L. Zimmerman 1008 W Quarry Rd. New Holland, PA 17557

Honorable Arthur Coccodrilli 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

August 14, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely, Rayul J

August 12, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

I am writing this letter to express my concern over the Proposed Regulation in the 7 PA Code, Chapter 28a. I am hoping you will encourage the IRRC to vote against this proposal in order to save many quality kennels, which during these rough economical times, are experiencing financial difficulties. It is my belief that the Department of Agriculture has grossly underestimated the true cost of engineering, design, climate control equipment and installation, maintenance, and energy costs for our kennels to comply with the Canine Health Board's new "final form" minimum standards.

HVAC engineers have concluded that the energy cost alone will cost more than our kennel's annual profits in attempting to comply with the department's final form of regulations. As kennel owners struggle to maintain quality facilities, we are faced with sure destruction of our businesses if we are unable to financially meet the high cost of bringing our facility up to the newly proposed standards.

We are always searching for ways to improve kennel conditions, but I believe that the regulations proposed for Act 119 are cost prohibitive. Veterinarian experts have even concluded that the proposed new Heat Index maximums coupled with the air flow minimum standards will result in almost a 100% mortality rate of newborn puppies in commercial kennels. Needless to say, as a member of the breeders' community, I feel compelled to ask you to vote against this proposed regulation code and to reconsider the regulations contained therein.

Sincerely,

Marthab, Wenger MARTHAB. WENGER
445 S. FAIRMOUNTRA
Ephrata PA 17522

August 12, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

I am writing this letter to express my concern over the Proposed Regulation in the 7 PA Code, Chapter 28a. I am hoping you will encourage the IRRC to vote against this proposal in order to save many quality kennels, which during these rough economical times, are experiencing financial difficulties. It is my belief that the Department of Agriculture has grossly underestimated the true cost of engineering, design, climate control equipment and installation, maintenance, and energy costs for our kennels to comply with the Canine Health Board's new "final form" minimum standards.

HVAC engineers have concluded that the energy cost alone will cost more than our kennel's annual profits in attempting to comply with the department's final form of regulations. As kennel owners struggle to maintain quality facilities, we are faced with sure destruction of our businesses if we are unable to financially meet the high cost of bringing our facility up to the newly proposed standards.

We are always searching for ways to improve kennel conditions, but I believe that the regulations proposed for Act 119 are cost prohibitive. Veterinarian experts have even concluded that the proposed new Heat Index maximums coupled with the air flow minimum standards will result in almost a 100% mortality rate of newborn puppies in commercial kennels. Needless to say, as a member of the breeders' community, I feel compelled to ask you to vote against this proposed regulation code and to reconsider the regulations contained therein.

Sincerely,

Elam Beiler

537 Eby Chiques Rd Mount Joy, PA 17552

August 12, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

I am writing this letter to express my concern over the Proposed Regulation in the 7 PA Code, Chapter 28a. I am hoping you will encourage the IRRC to vote against this proposal in order to save many quality kennels, which during these rough economical times, are experiencing financial difficulties. It is my belief that the Department of Agriculture has grossly underestimated the true cost of engineering, design, climate control equipment and installation, maintenance, and energy costs for our kennels to comply with the Canine Health Board's new "final form" minimum standards.

HVAC engineers have concluded that the energy cost alone will cost more than our kennel's annual profits in attempting to comply with the department's final form of regulations. As kennel owners struggle to maintain quality facilities, we are faced with sure destruction of our businesses if we are unable to financially meet the high cost of bringing our facility up to the newly proposed standards.

We are always searching for ways to improve kennel conditions, but I believe that the regulations proposed for Act 119 are cost prohibitive. Veterinarian experts have even concluded that the proposed new Heat Index maximums coupled with the air flow minimum standards will result in almost a 100% mortality rate of newborn puppies in commercial kennels. Needless to say, as a member of the breeders' community, I feel compelled to ask you to vote against this proposed regulation code and to reconsider the regulations contained therein.

and the control of th

Sincerely,

Elam Beiler

113 Horseshoe Road

Leola, PA 17540

David W. Zimmernan 1512 Wenverland RD East Earl, P.A. 17519

> Honorable Arthur Coccodrilli 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

> > August 14, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely, Law W. Junion

August 14, 2010

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

After carefully considering the proposed regulations of 7PA Code Chapter 28A, I am asking that you reject the final form regulations. I believe it would be to everyone's advantage if credible research was examined and the Department of Agriculture would resubmit more reasonable and beneficial regulations. If passed, I believe that this Code would cause undue financial stress on small business owners in Pennsylvania who are already pressured in these difficult economic times.

I point particularly to research by HVAC that shows that energy costs alone implementing these new regulations would surpass the income that a facility would generate during the year. If profits decline, many of these quality and professional facilities would be forced to shut down their businesses. In these rough economic times, no one wants to see people put out of business unnecessarily.

Some of the contained mandates, I believe, are not within the statuary authority of the State Department. For example, mandating air conditioning rather than fans to move the air would not be within the Departments authority. Again, the cost factor for some facilities would be insurmountable. Also requiring a maximum Heat Index of 90 within a breeding kennel would result in the death of many puppies which require a higher temperature to survive in their first days.

I ask that you review the research and vote "no" to the proposed regulations. As we all endeavor to maintain our businesses and strive for quality, I believe that reasonable and common sense approach should be taken when drafting regulation by the Department..

Sincerely,

John 9 Km 448 Jacob 5 Rd Narvon Pa 12555